



---

**18<sup>th</sup> LAWASIA** International Moot  
CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS TO THE MOOT  
PROBLEM

---



# CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS TO THE MOOT PROBLEM

---

---

## CORRECTIONS

---

---

1. In paragraph 29, at the second last sentence, it should be read as: '*...Olaf's views on its Twitter were widely **circulated and shared** which did not sit well with President Lalan's administration.*'
- 
- 

## CLARIFICATIONS

---

---

1. What was Radostan or Prime Minister Yodwicha's involvement in the creation of Olaf?

Please refer to paragraphs 10 and 11 of the Moot Problem.

2. At paragraph 11, does the training data used to train Olaf contain data from diverse sources?

The training data consists of materials accessible and retrieved via the Internet. Olaf has also been trained by the delegation from Coltana to filter websites and unreliable sources. This is to ensure that Olaf functions accurately and independently of unverified information.

3. At paragraph 11, how was the delegation from Coltana chosen? Do they have any affiliations with the Coltana government?

The delegates from Coltana were chosen based on their experience and expertise. One of the delegates representing Coltana was its Solicitor General II, Shakuntala Vidhana Devi, who has represented Coltana in numerous international arbitrations.

4. At paragraph 12, who owns Oracle Corporation?

Oracle Corporation is a private entity in Radostan. Prime Minister Yodwicha is one of the Independent Non-Executive Directors of Oracle Corporation.

5. At paragraph 13, was Olaf initially trained to publish alerts and legal insights?

Olaf was initially scheduled to post legal updates once every quarter of a year. As Olaf advances and showcases its self-learning abilities, Olaf had taken to publishing alerts and legal insights. For ease of reference, Olaf has also published answers to the legal queries posed by internet users as part of its Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ).

6. At paragraph 13, what specific conduct and policy of Radostan was Olaf supportive or defensive of?

Olaf was supportive of numerous policies implemented by Radostan. To name a few, Olaf complimented the Radostan government for introducing a 3-day weekend policy for its civil servants; promoting a work life balance lifestyle; and imposing a nationwide respectful workplace policy in all private and public sectors.

7. At paragraph 29, did the DPP lose the elections?

The DPP did not lose the elections. In past elections, the DPP have always secured two-thirds majority. However, in the 2021 elections, for the first time, the DPP failed to secure two-thirds majority and had merely retained enough seats to form a simple majority government.

8. At paragraph 24, does the CCTA provide for the indefinite use of the OnionRing?

The CCTA does not provide for the indefinite use of the OnionRing. It is a subscription-based service that will expire in 2024. Parties however may elect to extend/renew the contract on mutual agreement.

9. At paragraph 34, it states that “*Coltana also raised the issue on the alleged hacking by Ini-Tech involving OBH*”. Does the alleged hacking refer to the alleged statement made by the former employee of Ini-Tech at paragraph 30?

Yes.

10. Is the Crime and Corruption Reporting Project (CCRP) credible and reliable in their reporting?

The CCRP which is based in Denmark comprises of independent investigative journalists and media personnel.

11. Can we confirm that Anuwat was indeed the key programmer of the OnionRing?

Please refer to paragraphs 22, 23, 26 and 38 of the Moot Problem.

12. What is the current stage of Anuwat's proceeding at the International Criminal Court (ICC)?

The proceeding at the ICC is currently at the trial chambers.

13. Were Radostan or Coltana parties in any of the arbitrations where Olaf acted as an arbitrator?

Olaf has never arbitrated any matter involving both Radostan and Coltana at the same time. However, Olaf has acted as one of the mediators in a dispute between two investment holding companies in Coltana.

14. What relief is Coltana actually claiming from Radostan in these proceedings?

For parties to argue.