



21st LAWASIA International Moot
CORRECTIONS & CLARIFICATIONS TO THE MOOT
PROBLEM



Organiser of the LAWASIA International Moot Competition

CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS TO THE MOOT PROBLEM

CORRECTIONS

1. All references to “Renya” in Exhibit 2 shall be amended to read “Reyna.”
 2. In paragraph 76 of the Moot Problem, the date “26th February 2026” shall be substituted with “31st March 2026.”
-
-

CLARIFICATIONS

1. What legal system does Reyna adopt?

Common law.

2. Whether the judiciary of Reyna conduct proceedings in chambers?

Reyna adheres strongly to the open court principle, where proceedings are generally public with restrictions imposed only in limited cases involving minors, matrimonial and divorce proceedings and issues touching national security.

3. What is Aurora’s standing within the R-Pop industry?

Aurora is a highly established player within the R-Pop industry, distinguished by its technological innovation. As an industry pioneer, Aurora’s advancements have reportedly spurred smaller companies to explore sourcing overseas equipment in order to integrate similar technologies into idol training.

4. What is Nova's standing within the concert promoting industry?

Nova is a leading player in its industry with an established reputation for organising large-scale entertainment events. Its capabilities were notably demonstrated when it successfully hosted a private performance featuring the girl group, WHITEORANGE, for a presidential delegation during their official visit to Reyna. The event, which required a high level of coordination, discretion and production quality, was widely regarded as a testament to Nova's professionalism and standing within the industry.

5. What is the history of collaboration between the Parties?

Aurora has collaborated with Nova on six concerts in total, including one concert for ECLIPSE and five others featuring different idols under Aurora's management.

6. How have the Parties resolved disputes in the past?

The Parties have previously resorted to arbitration to resolve disputes arising both between themselves and with third parties in the course of their commercial dealings. In those arbitrations, the proceedings progressed without any jurisdictional challenges being raised by the Parties. On one occasion, following the suggestion of the arbitral tribunal, Aurora and Nova agreed to attempt mediation in relation to a minor dispute, which was subsequently resolved through that process.

7. When was the concert date confirmed?

3rd January 2026.

8. When did speculation about the minor glitch first arise?

13th February 2026.

9. Was the minor glitch observed during rehearsals?

No.

10. Has ECLIPSE performed using holograms in past performances?

There were speculations that ECLIPSE had used holograms in past performances, particularly because one of the members was purportedly seen abroad during one such performance. Aurora, however, never confirmed nor denied these claims.

11. Whether Exhibit 1 was circulated to, and agreed upon by, Nova?

The minutes of the meeting were prepared almost verbatim. However, they were neither circulated to nor agreed upon by Nova.

12. Were the rumours surrounding Nova's financial position widespread?

The rumours circulated within segments of the industry and were occasionally raised in informal conversations among event organisers, managers, promoters and even idols. However, the sources of these rumours remain unknown.

13. Whether the royalties claimed by Aurora are separate from the invoice amounting to USD3.6 million?

Yes, see paragraph 52 of the Moot Problem.

14. What is the procedural law governing the arbitration proceedings?

Following the Reynan High Court's grant of a stay of proceedings pending arbitration, the Parties agreed that the arbitration would be conducted in accordance with the AIAC Arbitration Rules 2026.

15. When did Aurora first become aware of Luna's psychological condition?

The incident occurred on 24th January 2026, and Luna's first therapy session was scheduled on 27th January 2026.

16. Is there any evidentiary document regarding Luna's psychological condition?

Aurora requested a medical note from Luna's psychiatrist to substantiate her unfitness to perform. However, the psychiatrist declined the request on the basis of patient-doctor confidentiality, explaining that Luna's prior consent was

required before any medical information could be disclosed. Aurora ultimately did not pursue the matter further, citing concerns for Luna’s fragile psychological state and a wish not to impose additional pressure on her.

17. Whether “live performance of the Act” requires physical human performance rather than a technologically generated representation?

For parties to argue.

18. Whether Aurora’s right to employ “digital or other production technologies” extends to the use of holographic projection?

For parties to argue.